arXiv:1612.03304v1 [math.AP] 10 Dec 2016

On the generalized porous medium equation in Fourier-Besov spaces

Weiliang Xiao[1] **Xuhuan Zhou**[2*]

School of Applied Mathematics, Nanjing University of Finance and Economics, Nanjing, 210023, China;
E-mail: xwltc123@163.com

Department of Information Technology, Nanjing Forest Police College, Nanjing, 210023, China;
E-mail: zhouxuhuan@163.com

Abstract We study a kind of generalized porous medium equation with fractional Laplacian and abstract pressure term. For a large class of equations corresponding to the form: $u_t + \nu\Lambda^\beta u = \nabla \cdot (u\nabla Pu)$, we get their local well-posedness in Fourier-Besov spaces for large initial data. If the initial data is small, then the solution becomes global. Furthermore, we prove a blowup criterion for the solutions.

Keywords porous medium equation, well-posedness, blowup criterion, Fourier-Besov spaces
MR (2010) 42B37, 35Q35, 35K55, 76S05

1 Introduction

In this paper, we study the nonlinear nonlocal equation in $\mathbb{R}^n$ of the form

$$\begin{cases} u_t + \nu\Lambda^\alpha u = \nabla \cdot (u\nabla Pu); \\ u(0,x) = u_0. \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

Usually, $u = u(t,x)$ ia a real-valued function, represents a density or concentration. The dissipative coefficient $\nu > 0$ corresponds to the viscid case, while $\nu = 0$ corresponds to the inviscid case. In this paper we study the viscid case and take $\nu = 1$ for simplicity. The fractional operator Λ^α is defined by Fourier transform as $(\Lambda^\alpha u)^\wedge = |\xi|^\alpha \hat{u}$. P is an abstact operator.

Equation (1.1) here comes from the same proceeding with that of the fractional porous medium equation (FPME) introduced by Caffarelli and Vázquez [5]. In fact, equation (1.1) comes into being by adding the fractional dissipative term $\nu\Lambda^\alpha u$ to the continuity equation $u_t + \nabla \cdot (uV) = 0$, where the velocity $V = -\nabla p$ and the velocity potential or pressure p is related to u by an abstract operator $p = Pu$.

The absrtact form pressure term Pu gives a good suitability in many cases. The simplest case comes from a model in groundwater in filtration [1, 19]: $u_t = \triangle u^2$, that is: $\nu = 0, Pu =$

The research was supported by the NNSF of China under grant #11601223 and # 11626213.

u. A more general case appears in the fractional porous medium equation [5] when $\nu = 0$ and $Pu = \Lambda^{-2s}u, 0 < s < 1$. In the critical case when $s = 1$, it is the mean field equation first studied by Lin and Zhang [15]. Some studies on the well-posedness and regularity on those equations we refer to [4, 6, 7, 17, 18, 20, 23] and the references therein.

In the FPME, the pressure can also be represented by Riesz potential as $Pu = \Lambda^{-2s}u = \mathcal{K} * u$, with kernel $\mathcal{K} = c_{n,s}|y|^{2s-n}$. Replacing the kernel $\mathcal{K}$ by other functions in this form: $Pu = \mathcal{K} * u$, equation (1.1) also appears in granular flow and biological swarming, named aggregation equation. The typical kernels are the Newton potential $|x|^\gamma$ and the exponent potential $-e^{-|x|}$.

One of concerned problems on this equation is the singularity of the potential Pu which holds the well-posedness or leads to the blowup solution. Generally, smooth kernels at origin $x = 0$ lead to the global in time solution [3], meanwhile nonsmoooth kernels may lead to blowup phenomenon [14]. Li and Rodrigo [13, 14] studied the well-posedness and blowup criterion of equation (1.1) with the pressure $Pu = \mathcal{K} * u$, where $\mathcal{K}(x) = e^{-|x|}$ in Sobolev spaces. Wu and Zhang [21] generalize their work to require $\nabla\mathcal{K} \in W^{1,1}$ which includes the case $\mathcal{K}(x) = e^{-|x|}$. They take advantage of the controllability in Besov spaces of the convolution $\mathcal{K} * u$ under this condition, as well as the controllability of its gradient $\nabla\mathcal{K} * u$.

In this article we study the well-posedness and blowup criterion of equation (1.1) in Fourier-Besov spaces under an abstract pressure condition

$$\|\widehat{\triangle_k(\nabla Pu)}\|_{L^p} \le C2^{k\sigma}\|\widehat{\triangle_k u}\|_{L^p}. \tag{1.2}$$

In Fourier-Besov spaces, it is the localization express of the norm estimate

$$\|\nabla Pu\|_{F\dot{B}^s_{p,q}} \le C\|u\|_{F\dot{B}^{s+\sigma}_{p,q}}. \tag{1.3}$$

Corresponding to the FPME, i.e. $Pu = \Lambda^{-2s}$, we get $\sigma = 1-2s$ obviously. And if $Pu = \mathcal{K}*u$, $\mathcal{K} \in W^{1,1}$ in the aggregation equation, we get $\sigma = 1$ when $\mathcal{K} \in L^1$ and $\sigma = 0$ when $\nabla\mathcal{K} \in L^1$.

The Fourier-Besov spaces we use here come from Konieczny and Yoneda [11] when deal with the Navier-Stokes equation (NSE) with Coriolis force. Besides, Fourier-Besov spaces have been widely used to study the well-posedness, singularity, self-similar solution, etc. of Fluid Dynamics in various of forms. For instance, the early pseudomeasure spaces PM^α in which Cannone and Karch studied the smooth and singular properties of Navier-Stokes equations [8]. The Lei-Lin spaces $\mathcal{X}^\sigma$ deal with global solutions to the NSE [12] and to the quasi-geostrophic equations (QGE) [2]. The Fourier-Herz spaces $\mathcal{B}^\sigma_q$ in the Keller-Segel system [9], in the NSE with Coriolis force [10] and in the magneto-hydrodynamic equations (MHD) [16].

In the case of Besov spaces, we gain some well-posedness and blow-up results of equation (1.1) under an corresponding condition to (1.3) in [24]. Due to the difficulty in deal with the nonlinear term $\nabla \cdot (u\nabla Pu)$, in that case we need a little strict initial condition: $u_0 \in \dot{B}^{n/p+\sigma-\beta}_{p,1} \cap \dot{B}^{n/p+\sigma-\beta+1}_{p,1}$. However, in this paper, we find that Fourier-Besov spaces are

powerful in deal with the nonlinear term, by a very different method used in [24], we prove the following theorems:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $p, q \in [1, \infty]$, $\max\{1, \sigma+1\} < \alpha < n(1-\frac{1}{p}) + \sigma + 2$. Then for any $u_0 \in \dot{FB}^{n(1-\frac{1}{p})-\alpha+\sigma+1}_{p,q}$, equation (1.1) admits a unique mild solution u and*

$$u \in C\big([0,T); \dot{FB}^{n(1-\frac{1}{p})-\alpha+\sigma+1}_{p,q}\big) \cap \tilde{L}^1([0,T); \dot{FB}^{n(1-\frac{1}{p})+\sigma+1}_{p,q}).$$

Moreover, there exists a constant $C_0 = C_0(\alpha, p, q)$ such that for u_0 satisfying $\|u_0\|_{\dot{FB}^{n(1-\frac{1}{p})-\alpha+\sigma+1}_{p,q}} \leq C_0$, the solution u is global, and

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty_T(\dot{FB}^{n(1-\frac{1}{p})-\alpha+\sigma+1}_{p,q})} + \|u\|_{\tilde{L}^1_T(\dot{FB}^{n(1-\frac{1}{p})+\sigma+1}_{p,q})} \leq 2C\|u_0\|_{\dot{FB}^{n(1-\frac{1}{p})-\alpha+\sigma+1}_{p,q}}.$$

Theorem 1.2. *Let T^* denote the maximal time of existence of u in $L^\infty_T(\dot{FB}^{\beta}_{p,q}) \cap \tilde{L}^1_T(\dot{FB}^{\beta+\alpha}_{p,q})$. Here $\beta = n(1-\frac{1}{p}) - \alpha + \sigma + 1$. If $T^* < \infty$, then*

$$\|u\|_{\tilde{L}^1([0,T^*);\dot{FB}^{\beta+\alpha}_{p,q})} = \infty.$$

2 Preliminaries

Let us introduce some basic knowledge on Littlewood-Paley theory and Fourier-Besov spaces. Let $\varphi \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a radial positive function such that

$$supp\ \varphi \subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : \frac{3}{4} \leq |\xi| \leq \frac{8}{3}\}, \quad \sum_{j\in Z} \varphi(2^{-j}\xi) = 1 \text{ for any } \xi \neq 0.$$

Define the frequency localization operators as follows:

$$\triangle_j u = \varphi_j(D)u; \quad S_j u = \psi_j(D)u,$$

here $\varphi_j(\xi) = \varphi(2^{-j}\xi)$ and $\psi_j = \sum_{k\leq j-1} \varphi_j$.

By Bony's decomposition we can split the product uv into three parts:

$$uv = T_u v + T_v u + R(u, v),$$

with

$$T_u v = \sum_j S_{j-1} u \Delta_j v, \quad R(u,v) = \sum_j \Delta_j u \tilde{\Delta}_j v, \quad \tilde{\Delta}_j v = \Delta_{j-1} v + \Delta_j v + \Delta_{j+1} v.$$

Let us now define the Fourier-Besov space as follows.

Definition 2.1. *For $\beta \in \mathbb{R}, p, q \in [1,\infty]$, we define the Fourier-Besov space $F\dot{B}^{\beta}_{p,q}$ as*

$$F\dot{B}^{\beta}_{p,q} = \{f \in \mathscr{S}'/\mathbb{P} : \|f\|_{F\dot{B}^{\beta}_{p,q}} = \Big(\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{j\beta q}\|\varphi_j \hat{f}\|^q_{L^p}\Big)^{1/q} < \infty\}.$$

Here the norm changes normally when $p = \infty$ or $q = \infty$, and $\mathbb{P}$ is the set of all polynomials.

Definition 2.2. *In this paper, we need two kinds of mixed time-space norm defined as follows: For $s \in \mathbb{R}, 1 \le p, q \le \infty, I = [0,T), T \in (0,\infty]$, and let X be a Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|_X$,*

$$\|f(t,x)\|_{L^r(I;X)} := \big(\int_I \|f(\tau,\cdot)\|^r_X d\tau\big)^{\frac{1}{r}},$$
$$\|f(t,x)\|_{\tilde{L}^r(I;F\dot{B}^{\beta}_{p,q})} := \big(\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{j\beta q}\|\varphi_j \hat{f}\|^q_{L^r(I;L^p)}\big)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

By Minkowski' inequality, there holds

$$L^r(I;F\dot{B}^s_{p,q}) \hookrightarrow \tilde{L}(I;F\dot{B}^s_{p,q}), \text{ if } r \le q \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{L}^r(I;F\dot{B}^s_{p,q}) \hookrightarrow L^r(I;F\dot{B}^s_{p,q}), \text{ if } r \ge q. \tag{2.1}$$

Lemma 2.1. *Let X be a Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|_X$ and $B : X \times X \mapsto X$ be a bounded bilinear operator satisfying*

$$\|B(u,v)\|_X \le \eta\|u\|_X\|v\|_X,$$

for all $u, v \in X$ and a constant $\eta > 0$. Then for any fixed $y \in X$ satisfying $\|y\|_X < \epsilon < \frac{1}{4\eta}$, the equation $x := y + B(x,x)$ has a solution $\bar{x}$ in X such that $\|\bar{x}\|_X \le 2\|y\|_X$. Also, the solution is unique in $\bar{B}(0,2\epsilon)$. Moreover, the solution depends continuously on y in the sense: if $\|y'\|_X < \epsilon, x' = y' + B(x',x'), \|x'\|_X < 2\epsilon$, then

$$\|\bar{x} - x'\|_X \le \frac{1}{1-4\epsilon\eta}\|y - y'\|_X.$$

Lemma 2.2. *[22] If $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1-\theta}{r_1} + \frac{1-\theta}{r_1}$, then*

$$\|u\|_{\tilde{L}^r_T(F\dot{B}^{\beta+\theta\alpha}_{p,q})} \le \|u\|^{1-\theta}_{\tilde{L}^{r_1}_T(F\dot{B}^{\beta}_{p,q})}\|u\|^{\theta}_{\tilde{L}^{r_2}_T(F\dot{B}^{\beta+\alpha}_{p,q})}.$$

Now we prove a priori estimate which will be used in our proof. Consider the following dissipative equation:

$$\partial_t u + \Lambda^\alpha u = f(t,x), \quad u(0,x) = u_0(x), \quad t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{2.2}$$

Lemma 2.3. *Let $0 < T \le \infty, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 \le r \le \infty$. Assume $u_0 \in F\dot{B}^{\beta}_{p,q}$, $f \in \tilde{L}^1(I;F\dot{B}^{\beta}_{p,q})$. Then the solution $u(t,x)$ to (2.2) satisfies*

$$\|u\|_{\tilde{L}^r(I;F\dot{B}^{\beta+\frac{\alpha}{r}}_{p,q})} \le C(\|u_0\|_{F\dot{B}^{\beta}_{p,q}} + \|f\|_{\tilde{L}^1(I;F\dot{B}^{\beta}_{p,q})}) \tag{2.3}$$

Proof. Consider the integral form of (2.2)

$$u(t,x) = e^{-t\Lambda^\alpha}u_0 + \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)\Lambda^\alpha} f(\tau,x)\mathrm{d}\tau := Lu_0 + Gf.$$

For the linear part,

$$\|\varphi_j \mathcal{F}(Lu_0)\|_{L^p} \le e^{-t2^{j\alpha}(3/4)^\alpha}\|\varphi_j \widehat{u_0}\|_{L^p}.$$

Hence

$$\|Lu_0\|_{\tilde{L}^r_T(F\dot{B}^{\beta+\frac{\alpha}{r}}_{p,q})} \le \big\|2^{j(\beta+\frac{\alpha}{r})}\|e^{-t2^{j\alpha}(3/4)^\alpha}\|_{L^r_T}\|\varphi_j\widehat{u_0}\|_{L^p}\big\|_{l^q} \le C\|u_0\|_{F\dot{B}^{\beta}_{p,q}}.$$

On the other hand, for the integral part,

$$\|\varphi_j \mathcal{F}(Gf)\|_{L^p} \le \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)(\frac{3}{4}2^j)^\alpha}\|\varphi_j \hat{f}\|_{L^p}\mathrm{d}\tau$$

Taking L^r-norm with respect to time, by Minkowskii's inequality

$$\|\varphi_j \mathcal{F}(Gf)\|_{L^r_T(L^p)} \le C2^{-\frac{j\alpha}{r}}\|\varphi_j \hat{f}\|_{L^1_T(L^p)}.$$

Hence

$$\|Gf\|_{\tilde{L}^r_T(F\dot{B}^{\beta+\frac{\alpha}{r}}_{p,q})} = \big\|2^{j(\beta+\frac{\alpha}{r})}\|\varphi_j \mathcal{F}(Gf)\|_{L^r_T(L^p)}\big\|_{l^q} \le C\|f\|_{\tilde{L}^1_T(F\dot{B}^{\beta}_{p,q})}.$$

Combine the above estimates, we obtain our desire inequality. □

3 Local and global well-posedness

In this section we prove our main Theorem. First we know that the integral form of u is as follows

$$\begin{aligned} u &= e^{-t\Lambda^\alpha}u_0 + \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)\Lambda^\alpha}\nabla\cdot(u(\tau)\nabla Pu(\tau))\mathrm{d}\tau \\ &:= S(t)u_0 + H(u,u). \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Here $S(t)u_0 = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(e^{-t|\xi|^\alpha}\hat{u}_0)$, and $H(u.v) = \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)\Lambda^\alpha}\nabla\cdot(u(\tau)\nabla Pv(\tau))\mathrm{d}\tau$. Now we get the following estimate

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\gamma, p, q \ge 1$, $\epsilon > \max\{0, -\sigma\}$, $\beta > 0$, $\frac{1}{\gamma} = \frac{1}{\gamma_1} + \frac{1}{\gamma_2}$, there holds*

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\partial_i Pv\|_{\tilde{L}^\gamma_t(F\dot{B}^{\beta}_{p,q})} &\le C\|u\|_{\tilde{L}^{\gamma_1}_t(F\dot{B}^{n(1-\frac{1}{p})-\epsilon}_{p,q})}\|v\|_{\tilde{L}^{\gamma_2}_t(F\dot{B}^{\beta+\sigma+\epsilon}_{p,q})} \\ &\quad + C\|v\|_{\tilde{L}^{\gamma_1}_t(F\dot{B}^{n(1-\frac{1}{p})-\epsilon}_{p,q})}\|u\|_{\tilde{L}^{\gamma_2}_t(F\dot{B}^{\beta+\sigma+\epsilon}_{p,q})}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By the Bony's decomposition, it is easy to get that

$$\Delta_j(u\partial_i Pv) = \sum_{|k-j|\le 4} \Delta_j(S_{k-1}u\Delta_k(\partial_i Pv)) \tag{3.2}$$

$$+ \sum_{|k-j|\le 4} \Delta_j(S_{k-1}(\partial_i Pv)\Delta_k u) + \sum_{k\ge j-2} \Delta_j(\Delta_k u\tilde{\Delta}_k(\partial_i Pv)) \tag{3.3}$$

We can get the following estimates:

$$\begin{aligned}
&2^{j\beta}\| \sum_{|k-j|\le 4} \varphi_j \mathcal{F}(S_{k-1}u\Delta_k(\partial_i Pv))\|_{L_t^\gamma(L^p)} \\
&\le 2^{j\beta} \sum_{|k-j|\le 4} \|\mathcal{F}(S_{k-1}u) * \varphi_k \mathcal{F}(\partial_i Pv)\|_{L_t^\gamma(L^p)} \\
&\le C2^{j\beta} \sum_{|k-j|\le 4} \Big\| \sum_{l\le k-2} 2^{ln(1-\frac{1}{p})} \|\varphi_l \hat{u}\|_{L^p} 2^{k\sigma} \|\varphi_k \hat{v}\|_{L^p} \Big\|_{L_t^\gamma} \\
&\le C2^{j\beta} \sum_{|k-j|\le 4} \Big\| \sum_{l\le k-2} 2^{(l-k)\epsilon} 2^{nl(1-\frac{1}{p})-\epsilon l} \|\varphi_l \hat{u}\|_{L^p} 2^{k(\sigma+\epsilon)} \|\varphi_k \hat{v}\|_{L^p} \Big\|_{L_t^\gamma} \\
&\le C\|u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^{\gamma_1}(\dot{FB}_{p,q}^{n(1-\frac{1}{p})-\epsilon})} 2^{j(\beta+\sigma+\epsilon)} \|\varphi_j \hat{v}\|_{L_t^{\gamma_2}(L^p)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
&2^{j\beta}\| \sum_{|k-j|\le 4} \varphi_j \mathcal{F}(S_{k-1}(\partial_i Pv)\Delta_k u)\|_{L_t^\gamma(L^p)} \\
&\le C\|v\|_{\tilde{L}_t^{\gamma_1}(\dot{FB}_{p,q}^{n(1-\frac{1}{p})-\epsilon})} 2^{j(\beta+\sigma+\epsilon)} \|\varphi_k \hat{u}\|_{L_t^{\gamma_2}(L^p)}.
\end{aligned}$$

And when $\beta > 0$, we can also get that for some $\|a_j\|_{l^q} = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&2^{j\beta}\|\mathcal{F}(\sum_{k\ge j-2} \Delta_j(\Delta_k(\partial_i Pv)\tilde{\Delta}_k u)\|_{L_t^\gamma(L^p)} \\
&\le 2^{j\beta}\| \sum_{k\ge j-2} \varphi_j \mathcal{F}(\Delta_k(\partial_i Pv)) * (\tilde{\varphi}_k \hat{u})\|_{L_t^\gamma(L^p)} \\
&\le C2^{j\beta} \Big\| \sum_{k\ge j-2} 2^{kn(1-\frac{1}{p})+k\sigma} \|\varphi_k \hat{v}\|_{L^p} \|\tilde{\varphi}_k \hat{u}\|_{L^p} \Big\|_{L_t^\gamma} \\
&\le C \sum_{k\ge j-2} 2^{(j-k)\beta} \Big\| 2^{kn(1-\frac{1}{p})-\epsilon k} \|\varphi_k \hat{v}\|_{L^p} 2^{k(\beta+\sigma+\epsilon)} \|\tilde{\varphi}_k \hat{u}\|_{L^p} \Big\|_{L_t^\gamma} \\
&\le C \sum_{k\ge j-2} 2^{(j-k)\beta} \|v\|_{\tilde{L}_t^{\gamma_1}(\dot{FB}_{p,q}^{n(1-\frac{1}{p})-\epsilon})} 2^{k(\beta+\sigma+\epsilon)} \|\tilde{\varphi}_k u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^{\gamma_2}(L^p)} \\
&\le Ca_j \|v\|_{\tilde{L}_t^{\gamma_1}(\dot{FB}_{p,q}^{n(1-\frac{1}{p})-\epsilon})} \|u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^{\gamma_2}(\dot{FB}_{p,q}^{\beta+\sigma+\epsilon})}.
\end{aligned}$$

Combine the above estimate, we obtain the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned}\|u\partial_i Pv\|_{\tilde{L}_t^{\gamma}(\dot{FB}_{p,q}^{\beta})} \le & C\|u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^{\gamma_1}(\dot{FB}_{p,q}^{n(1-\frac{1}{p})-\epsilon})}\|v\|_{\tilde{L}_t^{\gamma_2}(\dot{FB}_{p,q}^{\beta+\sigma+\epsilon})} \\ & + C\|v\|_{\tilde{L}_t^{\gamma_1}(\dot{FB}_{p,q}^{n(1-\frac{1}{p})-\epsilon})}\|u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^{\gamma_2}(\dot{FB}_{p,q}^{\beta+\sigma+\epsilon})}.\end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 3.2. *Let $p, q \ge 1$, $\epsilon > \max\{0, -\sigma\}$, $\beta > -1$. If $u, v \in \tilde{L}_T^2(\dot{FB}_{p,q}^{n(1-\frac{1}{p})-\epsilon}) \cap \tilde{L}_T^2(\dot{FB}_{p,q}^{\beta+\sigma+\epsilon+1})$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}&\|H(u,v)\|_{\tilde{L}_T^{\infty}(\dot{FB}_{p,q}^{\beta})} + \|H(u,v)\|_{\tilde{L}_T^1(\dot{FB}_{p,q}^{\beta+\alpha})} \\ &\le C\|u\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(\dot{FB}_{p,q}^{n(1-\frac{1}{p})-\epsilon})}\|v\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(\dot{FB}_{p,q}^{\beta+\sigma+\epsilon+1})} + C\|v\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(\dot{FB}_{p,q}^{n(1-\frac{1}{p})-\epsilon})}\|u\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(\dot{FB}_{p,q}^{\beta+\sigma+\epsilon+1})}.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We note that $H(u,v)$ is a solution to equation (2.2) with $u_0 = 0, f = \nabla\cdot(u\nabla Pv)$. So by Lemma 2.3 there holds

$$\|H(u,v)\|_{\tilde{L}_T^{\infty}(\dot{FB}_{p,q}^{\beta})} + \|H(u,v)\|_{\tilde{L}_T^1(\dot{FB}_{p,q}^{\beta+\alpha})} \le C\|\nabla\cdot(u\nabla Pv)\|_{\tilde{L}_T^1(\dot{FB}_{p,q}^{\beta})}.$$

Then Proposition 3.1 gives the estimate. □

Theorem 3.1. *Let $p, q \in [1,\infty]$, $2\max\{1, \sigma+1\} < \alpha < n(1-\frac{1}{p}) + \sigma + 2$. Then for any $u_0 \in \dot{FB}_{p,q}^{n(1-\frac{1}{p})-\alpha+\sigma+1}$, equation (1.1) admits a unique mild solution u and*

$$u \in C\big([0,T); \dot{FB}_{p,q}^{n(1-\frac{1}{p})-\alpha+\sigma+1}\big) \cap \tilde{L}^1([0,T); \dot{FB}_{p,q}^{n(1-\frac{1}{p})+\sigma+1}).$$

Moreover, there exists a constant $C_0 = C_0(\alpha, p, q)$ such that for u_0 satisfying $\|u_0\|_{\dot{FB}_{p,q}^{n(1-\frac{1}{p})-\alpha+\sigma+1}} \le C_0$, the solution u is global, and

$$\|u\|_{L_T^{\infty}(\dot{FB}_{p,q}^{n(1-\frac{1}{p})-\alpha+\sigma+1})} + \|u\|_{\tilde{L}_T^1(\dot{FB}_{p,q}^{n(1-\frac{1}{p})+\sigma+1})} \le 2C\|u_0\|_{\dot{FB}_{p,q}^{n(1-\frac{1}{p})-\alpha+\sigma+1}}.$$

Proof. First suppose $t \in [0,T]$, T fixed. Let $\epsilon = \frac{\alpha}{2} - \sigma - 1$, $\beta = n(1-\frac{1}{p}) - \alpha + \sigma + 1$, by the above proposition

$$\begin{aligned}&\|H(u,v)\|_{L_T^{\infty}(\dot{FB}_{p,q}^{n(1-\frac{1}{p})-\alpha+\sigma+1})} + \|H(u,v)\|_{\tilde{L}_T^1(\dot{FB}_{p,q}^{n(1-\frac{1}{p})+\sigma+1})} \\ &\le C\|u\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(\dot{FB}_{p,q}^{n(1-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{\alpha}{2}+\sigma+1})}\|v\|_{\tilde{L}_T^2(\dot{FB}_{p,q}^{n(1-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{\alpha}{2}+\sigma+1})}.\end{aligned}$$

Define $X = \tilde{L}_T^2(\dot{FB}_{p,q}^{n(1-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{\alpha}{2}+\sigma+1})$, by Lemma 2.2

$$\|H(u,v)\|_X \le C\|u\|_X\|v\|_X.$$

By Lemma 2.1 we know that if $\|e^{-t\Lambda^\alpha}u_0\|_X < \frac{1}{4C}$, then (3.1) has a unique solution in $B(0,\frac{1}{2C})$. Here $B(0,\frac{1}{2C}) := \{x \in X : \|x\|_X \le \frac{1}{2C}\}$.

To conclude $\|e^{-t\Lambda^\alpha}u_0\|_X < \frac{1}{4C}$, we first note that $e^{-t\Lambda^\alpha}u_0$ is the solution to (2.2) with $f = 0, u_0 = u_0$, by Lemma 2.3, we can obtain

$$\|e^{-t\Lambda^\alpha}u_0\|_X \le C\|u_0\|_{\dot{FB}^{n(1-\frac{1}{p})-\alpha+\sigma+1}_{p,q}}. \tag{3.4}$$

Hence if $\|u_0\|_{\dot{FB}^{n(1-\frac{1}{p})-\alpha+\sigma+1}_{p,q}} \le \frac{1}{4C^2}$, (3.1) has a unique global solution in X. Moreover, $\|u\|_X \le 2C\|u_0\|_{\dot{FB}^{n(1-\frac{1}{p})-\alpha+\sigma+1}_{p,q}}$.

On the other hand, denote $u_0 = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\chi_{\{|\xi|\le\lambda\}}\widehat{u_0} + \mathcal{F}^{-1}\chi_{\{|\xi|>\lambda\}}\widehat{u_0} := u_0^1 + u_0^2$, where $\lambda = \lambda(u_0) > 0$ is a real number determined later. Since u_0^2 converges to 0 in $\dot{FB}^{n(1-\frac{1}{p})-\alpha+\sigma+1}_{p,q}$ as $\lambda \to +\infty$. By (3.4) there exists λ large enough such that

$$\|e^{-t\Lambda^\alpha}u_0^2\|_X \le \frac{1}{8C}.$$

For u_0^1,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|e^{-t\Lambda^\alpha}u_0^1\|_X &= \big\|2^{j(n(1-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{\alpha}{2}+\sigma+1)}\|\varphi_j e^{-t|\xi|^\alpha}\chi_{\{|\xi|\le\lambda\}}\widehat{u_0}\|_{L^2_T(L^p)}\big\|_{l^q} \\
&\le \big\|2^{j(n(1-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{\alpha}{2}+\sigma+1)}\|\sup_{|\xi|\le\lambda} e^{-t|\xi|^\alpha}|\xi|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\|_{L^2_T}\ \|\varphi_j|\xi|^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\hat{u_0}\|_{L^p}\big\|_{l^q} \\
&\le C\lambda^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}T^{\frac{1}{2}}\|u_0\|_{\dot{FB}^{n(1-\frac{1}{p})-\alpha+\sigma+1}_{p,q}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus for arbitrary u_0 in $\dot{FB}^{n(1-\frac{1}{p})-\alpha+\sigma+1}_{p,q}$, (3.1) has a unique local solution in X on $[0,T)$ where

$$T \le \Big(\frac{1}{8C^2\lambda^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\|u_0\|_{\dot{FB}^{n(1-\frac{1}{p})-\alpha+\sigma+1}_{p,q}}}\Big)^2.$$

The continuity with respect to time is standard. □

Next we give a blowup criterion as following:

Theorem 3.2. *Let T^* denote the maximal time of existence of u in $L^\infty_T(\dot{FB}^\beta_{p,q})\cap\tilde{L}^1_T(\dot{FB}^{\beta+\alpha}_{p,q})$. Here $\beta = n(1-\frac{1}{p})-\alpha+\sigma+1$. If $T^* < \infty$, then*

$$\|u\|_{\tilde{L}^1([0,T^*);\dot{FB}^{\beta+\alpha}_{p,q})} = \infty. \tag{3.5}$$

Proof. Supposing $\|u\|_{\tilde{L}^1([0,T^*);\dot{FB}^{\beta+\alpha}_{p,q})}dt < \infty$, then we can find $0 < T_0 < T^*$ satisfying

$$\|u\|_{\tilde{L}_1([T_0,T^*);\dot{FB}^{\beta+\alpha}_{p,q})} < \frac{1}{2}.$$

For $t \in [T_0, T^*]$, $s \in [T_0, t]$, by Lemma 2.3

$$\begin{aligned}\|u(s)\|_{F\dot{B}^{\beta}_{p,q}} + \|u\|_{\tilde{L}^1([T_0,s);F\dot{B}^{\beta+\alpha}_{p,q})} &\le \|u(T_0)\|_{F\dot{B}^{\beta}_{p,q}} + \|u\|_{L^\infty([T_0,s);F\dot{B}^{\beta}_{p,q})}\|u\|_{\tilde{L}^1([T_0,s);F\dot{B}^{\beta+\alpha}_{p,q})} \\ &\le \|u(T_0)\|_{F\dot{B}^{\beta}_{p,q}} + \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_{L^\infty([T_0,s);F\dot{B}^{\beta}_{p,q})}.\end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\sup_{T_0\le s\le t}\|u(s)\|_{F\dot{B}^{\beta}_{p,q}} \le \|u(T_0)\|_{F\dot{B}^{\beta}_{p,q}} + \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_{L^\infty([T_0,t);F\dot{B}^{\beta}_{p,q})}.$$

Put

$$M = \max(2\|u(T_0)\|_{F\dot{B}^{\beta}_{p,q}}, \max_{t\in[0,T_0]}\|u\|_{F\dot{B}^{\beta}_{p,q}}),$$

we can get

$$\|u(t)\|_{F\dot{B}^{\beta}_{p,q}} \le M, \quad \forall t \in [0, T^*].$$

On the other side,

$$\begin{aligned}u(t_2) - u(t_1) &= e^{-t_2|D|^\alpha}u_0 - e^{-t_1|D|^\alpha}u_0 + \int_0^{t_2} e^{-(t_2-\tau)|D|^\alpha}\nabla\cdot(uPu)(\tau)\mathrm{d}\tau \\ &\quad - \int_0^{t_1} e^{-(t_1-\tau)|D|^\alpha}\nabla\cdot(uPu)(\tau)\mathrm{d}\tau \\ &= [e^{-t_2|D|^\alpha}u_0 - e^{-t_1|D|^\alpha}u_0] + [\int_0^{t_1} e^{-(t_1-\tau)|D|^\alpha}(e^{-(t_2-t_1)|D|^\alpha}-1)\nabla\cdot(uPu)(\tau)\mathrm{d}\tau] \\ &\quad + [\int_{t_1}^{t_2} e^{-(t_2-\tau)|D|^\alpha}\nabla\cdot(uPu)(\tau)\mathrm{d}\tau] \\ &:= L_1 + L_2 + L_3.\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\|L_1\|_{F\dot{B}^{\beta}_{p,q}} &= \big\|2^{j\beta}\|\varphi_j(e^{-t_2|\xi|^\alpha} - e^{-t_1|\xi|^\alpha})\hat{u}_0\|_{L^p}\big\|_{l^q} \\ &\le \big\|2^{j\beta}\|\varphi_j(e^{-(t_2-t_1)|\xi|^\alpha} - 1)\hat{u}_0\|_{L^p}\big\|_{l^q} \\ &\le \|u_0\|_{F\dot{B}^{\beta}_{p,q}}.\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\|L_2\|_{F\dot{B}^{\beta}_{p,q}} &\le \big\|2^{j\beta}\int_0^{t_1}\|\varphi_j e^{-(t_2-\tau)|\xi|^\alpha}(1 - e^{-(t_2-t_1)|\xi|^\alpha})\mathcal{F}(\nabla\cdot(uPu)(\tau))\|_{L^p}\mathrm{d}\tau\big\|_{l^q} \\ &\le \big\|2^{j(\beta+1)}\int_0^{t_1}\|\varphi_j(e^{-(t_2-t_1)|\xi|^\alpha} - 1)\mathcal{F}(uPu)(\tau)\|_{L^p}\mathrm{d}\tau\big\|_{l^q}.\end{aligned}$$

$$\|L_3\|_{F\dot{B}^{\beta}_{p,q}} \le \big\|2^{j\beta}\int_{t_1}^{t_2}\|\varphi_j e^{-(t_2-\tau)|\xi|^\alpha}\mathcal{F}(\nabla\cdot(uPu)(\tau))\|_{L^p}\mathrm{d}\tau\big\|_{l^q}$$

$$\leq \big\|2^{j(\beta+1)}\int_{t_1}^{t_2}\|\varphi_j\mathcal{F}(uPu)(\tau)\|_{L^p}\mathrm{d}\tau\big\|_{l^q}.$$

By the dominated convergence theorem, we can get

$$\limsup_{t_1,t_2\nearrow T^*,t_1<t_2}\|u(t_2)-u(t_1)\|_{F\dot{B}^{\beta}_{p,q}}=0.$$

Then there is an element u^* of $F\dot{B}^{\beta}_{p,q}$ such that

$$\lim_{t\nearrow T^*}\|u(t)\|_{F\dot{B}^{\beta}_{p,q}}=u^*.$$

Now set $u(T^*)=u^*$ and consider the equation starting by u^*, by the well-posedness we obtain a solution existing on a larger time interval than $[0,T^*)$, which is a contradiction. □

4 Improvement of the index

The index in Theorem 3.1 is not a nature one since $\alpha>2$ is a very strong condition. However, the method used here can in fact gain some better index range by a slight modification. The key point is that we can seek the solution firstly in the space $X:=\tilde{L}^r_T(F\dot{B}^{\beta+\frac{\alpha}{r}}_{p,q})\cap\tilde{L}^{r'}_T(F\dot{B}^{\beta+\frac{\alpha}{r'}}_{p,q})$ instead of $\tilde{L}^2_T(F\dot{B}^{\beta+\frac{\alpha}{2}}_{p,q})$, with $\beta=n(1-\frac{1}{p})-\alpha+\sigma+1$. Since the proof are very similar, we list the key steps.

Step 1: Taking respectively r and r' in Lemma 2.3, and using the proof of Proposition 3.2, we get for $\epsilon>\max\{0,-\sigma\}$, $\beta>-1$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\|H(u,v)\|_{\tilde{L}^r_T(F\dot{B}^{\beta+\frac{\alpha}{r}}_{p,q})}+\|H(u,v)\|_{\tilde{L}^{r'}_T(F\dot{B}^{\beta+\frac{\alpha}{r'}}_{p,q})}\\&\leq C\|u\|_{\tilde{L}^r_T(F\dot{B}^{n(1-\frac{1}{p})-\epsilon}_{p,q})}\|v\|_{\tilde{L}^{r'}_T(F\dot{B}^{\beta+\sigma+\epsilon+1}_{p,q})}+C\|v\|_{\tilde{L}^r_T(F\dot{B}^{n(1-\frac{1}{p})-\epsilon}_{p,q})}\|u\|_{\tilde{L}^{r'}_T(F\dot{B}^{\beta+\sigma+\epsilon+1}_{p,q})}.\end{aligned}$$

Set $\epsilon=\frac{\alpha}{r'}-\sigma-1$, $\beta=n(1-\frac{1}{p})-\alpha+\sigma+1$, we then gain the important bilinear estimate $H(u,v)\leq C\|u\|_X\|v\|_X$ under the condition:

$$r'\max\{1,\sigma+1\}<\alpha<n(1-\frac{1}{p})+\sigma+2.$$

Thus by Lemma 2.1 we know that if $\|e^{-t\Lambda^\alpha}u_0\|_X<\frac{1}{4C}$, then equation (3.1) admits a unique solution in X.

Step 2: Now we need to derive $\|e^{-t\Lambda^\alpha}u_0\|_X<\frac{1}{4C}$. Since $e^{-t\Lambda^\alpha}u_0$ is the solution to (2.2) with $f=0,u_0=u_0$, by Lemma 2.3 we gain the global solution in X for small initial data. On the other hand, we can also obtain the local solution on $[0,T)$ in X by the same method in Theorem 3.1 for arbitrary initial data, where

$$T\leq\min\Big\{\Big(\frac{1}{16C^2\lambda^{\frac{\alpha}{r}}\|u_0\|_{F\dot{B}^{\beta}_{p,q}}}\Big)^r,\Big(\frac{1}{16C^2\lambda^{\frac{\alpha}{r'}}\|u_0\|_{F\dot{B}^{\beta}_{p,q}}}\Big)^{r'}\Big\}.$$

Step 3: We have proved equation has an unique solution in X under the condition: $r' \max\{1, \sigma+1\} < \alpha < n(1-\frac{1}{p}) + \sigma + 2,\ 1 < r < \infty$. Using the integral form (3.1) and Lemma 2.3, we can deduce

$$\|u\|_{\tilde{L}^{\infty}_{T}(F\dot{B}^{\beta}_{p,q})} + \|u\|_{\tilde{L}^{1}_{T}(F\dot{B}^{\beta+\alpha}_{p,q})} \leq C(\|u_0\|_{F\dot{B}^{\beta}_{p,q}} + \|u\|_{X}).$$

Hence u is also belongs to $C([0,T); F\dot{B}^{\beta}_{p,q}) \cap \tilde{L}^{1}_{T}(F\dot{B}^{\beta+\alpha}_{p,q})$. Since $1 < r < \infty$ in X can be chose to be a sufficiently large number, we in fact improve the index in Theorem 3.1 to

$$\max\{1, \sigma+1\} < \alpha < n(1-\frac{1}{p}) + \sigma + 2.$$

Besides, this improvement make no difference to the proof of Theorem 3.2.

References

[1] J. Bear, *Dynamics of Fluids in Porous Media*, Dover, New York, 1972.

[2] J. Benameur, M. Benhamed, *Global existence of the two-dimensional QGE with sub-critical dissipation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 423(2015), 1330-1347.

[3] A. L. Bertozzi, J. A. Carrillo, T. Laurent, *Blow-up in multidimensional aggregation equations with mildly singular interaction kernels*, Nonlinearity, 22 (2009), 683-710.

[4] P. Biler, C. Imbert, G. Karch, *The nonlocal porous medium equation: Barenblatt profiles and other weak solutions*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 215 (2015), 497-529.

[5] L. Caffarelli, J. L. Vázquez, *Nonlinear porous medium flow with fractional potential pressure*. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 202 (2011), 537-565.

[6] L. Caffarelli, J. L. Vázquez, *Asymptotic behaviour of a porous medium equation with fractional diffusion*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 29 (2011), 1393-1404.

[7] L. Caffarelli, F. Soria, J. L. Vázquez, *Regularity of solutions of the fractional porous medium flow*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 15 (2013), 1701-1746.

[8] M. Cannone, K. Grzegorz, *Smooth or singular solutions to the NavierStokes system?* Journal of Differential Equations 197.2 (2004): 247-274.

[9] T. Iwabuchi, *Global well-posedness for Keller-Segel system in Besov type spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 379 (2011), 930-948.

[10] T. Iwabuchi, R. Takada, *Global well-posedness and ill-posedness for the Navier-Stokes equations with the Corilis force in function spaces of Besov type*, J. Funct. Anal. 267 (2014), 1321-1337.

[11] P. Konieczny, T. Yoneda, *On dispersive effect of the Coriolis force for the stationary Navier-Stokes equations*, J. Differential Equations, 250 (2011), 3859-3873.

[12] Z. Lei, F. Lin, *Global mild solutions of Navier-Stokes equations*, Commun. Pure Appl. Math. 64 (2011), 1297-1304.

[13] D. Li, J. Rodrigo, *Finite-time singularities of an aggregation equation in $\mathcal{R}^n$ with fractional dissipation*, Commun. Math. Phys., 287 (2009), 687-703.

[14] D. Li, J. Rodrigo, *Well-posedness and regularity of solutions of an aggregation equation*, Rev. Mat. Iberoam., 26 (2010), 261-294.

[15] F. Lin, P. Zhang, *On the hydrodynamic limit of Ginzburg-Landau wave vortices*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 55 (2002), 831-856.

[16] Q. Liu, J. Zhao, *Global well-posedness for the generalized magneto-hydrodynamic equations in the critical Fourier-Herz sapces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 420 (2014), 1301-1315.

[17] S. Serfaty, J. L. Vázquez, *A mean field equation as limit of nonlinear diffusions with fractional Laplacian operators*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 49 (2014), 1091-1120.

[18] D. Stan, F. del Teso, J. L. Vázquez, *Finite and infinite speed of propagation for porous medium equations with fractional pressure*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 352 (2014), 123-128.

[19] J. L. Vázquez, *The Porous Medium Equation. Mathematical theory.* Oxford Mathematical Monographs. The Clarendon Press/Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2007.

[20] J. L. Vázquez, *Recent progress in the theory of nonlinear diffusion with fractional Laplacian operators*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. S 7 (2014), 857-885.

[21] G. Wu, Q. Zhang, *Global well-posedness of the aggregation equation with supercritical dissipation in Besov spaces*, Z. Angew. Math. Mech. 93 (2013), 882-894.

[22] W. Xiao, J. Chen, D. Fan, X. Zhou, *Global Well-Posedness and Long Time Decay of Fractional Navier-Stokes Equations in Fourier-Besov Spaces*, Abstract and Applied Analysis., 2014 (2014).

[23] X. Zhou, W. Xiao, J. Chen, *Fractional porous medium and mean field equations in Besov spaces*, Electron. J. Differential Equations, 2014 (2014), 1-14.

[24] X. Zhou, W. Xiao, T. Zheng, *Well-posedness and blowup criterion of generalized porous medium equation in Besov spaces*, Electron. J. Differential Equations, 2015(2015), 1-14.